# THE MINISTRY OF HIGHER AND SECONDARY SPECIAL EDUCATION OF THE REPUBLIC OF UZBEKISTAN



## SAMARKAND STATE INSTITUTE OF FOREIGN LANGUAGES

THE FACULTY OF ENGLISH PHILOLOGY

### PAPER WORK

## Theme: METHODS OF USING ADJECTIVIES IN MODERN ENGLISH

**DONE BY: AHROROV R** 

CHECKED BY: BAHRIEVA N

SAMARKA 2015

#### **CONTENTS:**

#### INTRODUCTION

CHA	APTER I. ADJECTIVE AND ITS GRAMMATICAL FEATURES OF
ENG	LISH
1.1.	Definition of the Term Adjectives
1.2.	The Adjective and its classification.
1.3.	Grammatical overview of English Adjectives
1.4.	Degrees of Comparison of Adjectives.
Conc	clusion on chapter I
CHA	APTER II. SYNTACTICAL FEATURES OF ADJECTIVES IN
ENG	LISH
2.1.	Syntactic Functions of Adjectives.
2.2.	Position of Adjectives.
2.3.	Order of Adjectives.
2.4.	Adjectives with prepositions
2.5.	Substantivization of Adjectives
Conc	lusion on chapter II
CON	ICLUSION
THE	LIST OF USED LITERATURE

#### INTRODUCTION

It is known that the realizing selfness, national consciousness and expression of thought, mental-spiritual dependence between generations is appeared according to the language [1,42]. The main objective of all our reforms in the field of economic policy is the individual. Therefore the tasks of education, the task of raising up a new generation capable of national renaissance will remain the prerogative of the state constitute a priority. At present great importance is attached to the study and teaching of foreign languages.

Over the period of Independence, we have had over 51,7 thousand of teachers of the foreign languages trained, multimedia textbooks in the English, German and French languages for pupils of 5-9th forms of general secondary schools and electronic resource materials for teaching English at primary schools designed, and over 5 thousand language laboratories at general secondary schools, vocational academic college and lyceums equipped. However the review of the current foreign languages teaching system proves that educational standards, curricula and textbooks do not fully meet the up-to-date requirements in terms of application of the advanced information and media technologies. Teaching is being conducted using traditional methodologies. Both consistent learning of the foreign languages at all levels of the education system and teachers' professional upgrading and equipping them with modern educational literature require further enhancement. In view of entire enhancement of the system of teaching youths the foreign languages and training of specialists able to communicate in foreign languages fluently, by introducing progressive teaching methods using modern teaching and information-communication technologies thus enabling them to access the achievements of the world civilization and globally available information resources.

President of the Republic of Uzbekistan I. KARIMOV

Tashkent, December 10, 2012,

№ ΠΠ-1875 [26]

Teaching foreign languages in Uzbekistan has become very important since the first days of the Independence of our country, which pays much attention to the rising of education level of people, their intellectual growth. As our President I.A.Karimov said: "Today it's difficult to revalue the importance of knowing foreign languages for our country as our people see their great prosperous future in the cooperation with foreign partners" [1,7]. In a short space of time independence gave us opportunity for achieving success in economic, social and political, spiritual-educational spheres in our country. For achieving to this success in order to the conception for building democratic society which done by our President I.A. Karimov is being served as a theoretical base. It was described the tradition of our country customs and mentality. Much importance is paid to develop of science in that conception. Particularly, the decree "about improving of organizing scientific- research activity" which was introduced by our President in 2002. Besides this, nowadays learning English also is playing main role in our life. So our government pays much attention for this. Indeed, to learn English deeply is one of the burning issues of today.

**Actuality of the research**. The theme of the research is to teach English adjectives using new methods and interactive games. As well as it should be more productive way of teaching adjectives for language learners.

The aim of the research is to study by using new methods in teaching adjectives of the English language.

#### Tasks of the research:

- 1. To compare teaching adjectives in traditional and untraditional way.
- 2. To show the difference between these teaching ways.
- 3. To prove the importance of method in teaching adjective.

**The object of the research** is the adjective which is the notional parts of English Grammar.

**The subject of the research** – is the English Grammar which is the main part of linguistics.

Theoretical significance of the research. All theories connecting with the meaning of words have been collected. We have studied many theories having been solved by some linguistics as: V. Vinogradov and others. We have compared the given theories and have tried to give our linguistic theoretical results.

**Practical significance of the research**. The results and conclusion of this research can be applied in the sphere of semantic structure of English words. And in can be studied by comparing the category in various languages. It can be used at seminars of lexicology, Stylistics and practical English lessons and in writing scientific articles on the theme of investigation.

The structure of the research. The research work consists of Introduction, 2 chapters, Conclusion and List of used literature. Introduction has general information about the problem, reveals the aim, duties, methods, theoretical and practical value of the work. Each chapter consists of smaller parts and paragraphs and contain important information and salvation of the pointed duties of the work. Conclusion presents the main and significant results of the investigation. List of used literature indicates the scientific issues, articles and thesis that were used in writing the work.

#### **MAIN PART**

#### 1.1.Definition of the Term Adjectives

An adjective is a word which acts to modify a noun in a sentence. While adjectives play a large role in many languages – such as English – many other languages have no adjectives at all. In English the set of adjectives is fairly well understood, though some people include other parts of speech – such as articles like the – in the class of adjectives.

There are two main roles an adjective may take in a sentence, and with a few exceptions each adjective is able to take either role just as easily. The first role is to act as a predicative adjective, in which the adjective modifies a preceding noun as a predicate, linked by a verb. An example of a predicative adjective can be found in the sentence: A zebra is striped in which the adjective striped is linked the subject of the sentence, zebra, by use of the copula verb to be in the is form.

The second role an adjective may take is as an attributive adjective, in which it modifies a noun by being linked directly to the noun as part of the noun phrase. An example of an attributive adjective may be seen in the sentence: "The striped zebra pranced" in which the adjective striped is directly connected to the subject of the sentence, zebra. In English, most attributive adjectives precede the noun they are going to modify, while in many Romance languages the adjective comes after the noun. So while in English we might say "The beautiful woman." in French we would say "Le femme jolie." which may be literally translated as "The woman beautiful."

While most adjectives in English are able to be used just as easily either in an attributive or a predicative sense, there are some which are restricted to one role or the other. For example, the adjective sole can be used grammatically only as an attributive adjective, as can be seen in the sentence: This is the sole survivor. On the other hand, trying to use the adjective sole in the predicative role would result in the ungrammatical sentence: This survivor is sole. Other English adjectives, such as alone, may be used only as a predicative adjective, while attempts to use them attributively result in ungrammatical sentences.

Adjectives may be modified by adverbs or adverbial clauses, but not by other adjectives. Many adjectives, however, can easily translate into corresponding adverbs simply by adding the ending to them. This can be seen in pairs such as quick/quickly and happy/happily.

In English and many other languages, adjectives also have a correct and incorrect order, depending on the type of adjectives used. Most native speakers learn this order instinctively, and related mistakes are one of the most obvious signs of a non-native speaker. For example, using the adjectives red, little, and two with the noun books, most native English speakers would intuitively order the adjectives to form the sentence "The two little red books." To non-native speakers, however, it might seem just as intuitive to say "The two red little books." or even "The red two little books." both of which are immediately obvious as incorrect to a native English speaker.

As mentioned earlier, not all languages use adjectives; some use other parts of speech instead to fill this role. Many Native American languages, for example, use verbs to fill the role that adjectives play in English, so that rather than "The woman is short." we are faced with something like "The woman is shorting." Languages that use nouns as adjectives are often more comprehensible to speakers of English, since our sentence formations can easily allow for metaphoric description using only nouns, with a verb perhaps to flavor it, such as "The sun was a blazing inferno." instead of "The sun was hot." English also uses abstract nouns, for example to turn "An important statement." into "A statement of import."

We have chosen this theme because we like adjectives from our early school age. It was interesting for us to investigate adjectives and to find something new that we didn't know before. First of all we found out the basical definitions of adjectives to describe it as part of speech. We used many theoretical books to do

our course work, such as: « Modern English language» (Theoretical course grammar) V.N. Zhigadlo, I.P. Ivanova, L.L. Iofik. Moscow, 1956 y., Baker, Mark. 2005. Lexical Categories - Verbs, nouns and adjectives. Cambridge University Press, etc. Then we looked through the "Warren, Beatrice. (1984). Classifying adjectives. Gothenburg studies in English" to know their theories and thoughts about adjectives as a part of speech. Here what we found about it:

In grammar, an adjective is a part of speech that modifies a noun or a pronoun, usually by describing it or making its meaning more specific. Adjectives exist in most languages. The most widely recognized adjectives in English are words such as *big, old,* and *tired* that actually describe people, places, or things. These words can themselves be modified with adverbs, as in the phrase *very big.*The articles *a, an,* and *the* and possessive nouns, such as *Mary's,* are classified as adjectives by some grammarians; however, such classification may be specific to one particular language. Other grammarians call such noun modifiers determiners. Similarly, possessive adjectives, such as *his* or *her,* are sometimes called determinative possessive pronouns, and demonstrative adjectives, such as *this* or *that,* are called determinative demonstratives. In some languages, participles are used as adjectives. Examples of participles used as adjectives are *lingering* in the phrase *lingering headache* and *broken* in the phrase *broken toys.* Nouns that modify other nouns are sometimes called modifying nouns, nouns used adjectivally, or just part of a compound noun (like the word *ice* in *ice cream*).

#### 1.2. The Adjective and its classification

The adjective is a word expressing a quality of a substance. Adjectives are characterized by the following typical features:

I. The lexico-grammatical meaning of attributes. It should be understood in this way such as their size (*large, small*), color (*red, blue*), position in space (*upper, inner*), material (*wooden, woolen*), psychic state of persons (*happy, furious*).

II. The morphological category of the degrees of comparison.

- III. The characteristic combinability with nouns, (a beautiful girl) link verbs (is clever)
  - IV. Stem building affixes, -full, -less, ish, -ous, -ive, -ic, -un, pre-, in-, etc.
  - V. Its functions of an attribute and a predicative complement.

Division of adjectives. According to the morphological composition adjectives are divided into *simple*, *derivative* and *compound*.

Simple adjectives are adjectives which have neither prefixes nor suffixes. E.g.: *good*, *red*, *black*.

Derivative adjectives are adjectives which have derivative elements, suffixes or prefixes or both: *beautiful*, *unkind*, etc. Affixes of adjectives are divided into productive and unproductive. Productive adjective-forming suffixes are: *-less*-friendless; *-like*-childlike; *-ed* (*-d*)-blue-eyed; *-ish*-childish. Unproductive adjective-forming suffixes are: *-ful*-careful; *-ible*-responsible; *-able*-reliable; *-ant*-important; *-ent*-dependent; *-en*-wooden; *-ous*-dangerous; *-some*-troublesome. Productive adjective-forming prefixes are: *-un* - unhappy; *-pre*-prewar. The unproductive prefix of the adjective is: *-in*-incorrect.

Compound adjectives are adjectives built from two or more stems. The main types of compound adjectives are as follows:

- a) Noun stem + adjective stem: *snow-white*.
- b) Noun stem + participle stem: *life-giving*.
  - c) Adjective stem + adjective stem: *deaf-mute*.
  - d) Adjective stem + noun stem + suffix -ed: *cold-hearted*.
  - e) Noun stem + noun stem + suffix -ed: *lynx-eyed*.
  - f) Numeral stem + noun stem + suffix -ed: *four-wheeled*.
  - g) Adverb stem + noun stem + suffix -ed: *over-peopled*.

According to their meaning and grammatical characteristics adjectives fall under two classes: 1) Qualitative adjectives. 2) Relative adjectives.

Qualitative adjectives denote qualities of a substance directly, not through its relation to another substance, as size, shape, colour, physical and mental qualities, qualities of general estimation. E.g.: *Large* house, *Small* bag etc.

Its grammatical characteristics are: (1) Most of them have degrees of comparison: E.g.: bigger, (the) biggest. (2) They have certain typical suffixes, such as -ful, -less, -ous, -ent, -able, -y, -ish etc. (3) From most of them adverbs can be formed by adding the suffix "ly": graceful-gracefully, gay-gaily. (4) Many of them can be used as an attribute and predicative. E.g.: The young man is very handsome. (Attribute) Everybody knows that she is beautiful. (Predicative)

Relative adjectives denote qualities of a substance through their relation to materials (woolen, wooden), to place (Italian, Asian, American), to time (monthly, weekly) to some action (preparatory, rotatory). Grammatical characteristics of relative adjectives are: (1) Relative adjectives have no degrees of comparison. (2) They do not form adverbs with the suffix "ly" (3) They have certain typical suffixes, such as "-en" wooden, "-an" Italian "-ist", socialist, "-ic" synthetic,"-ical" analytical. (4) Relative adjectives are chiefly used as attributes. E.g.: She had noticed a pretty wooden chain upon Gretel's neck. (Attribute)

Substantivized adjectives have acquired some or all of the characteristics of the noun, but their adjectival origin is still generally felt and they are divided into wholly substantivized and partially substantivized adjectives.

Wholly substantivized adjectives have all the characteristics of nouns, namely the plural form, the genitive case; they are associated with articles, i.e. they have become nouns: *a native, the natives, a natives' hut.* Some wholly substantivized adjectives have only the plural form: *ancients, sweets, greens*.

Partially substantivized adjectives acquire only some of the characteristics of the noun; they are used with the definite article. Partially substantivized adjectives denote a whole class: *the rich, the poor, the unemployed*. They may denote abstract notions: *the good, the evil, the beautiful, the plural*.

Substantivized adjectives denoting nationalities fall under wholly and partially substantivized adjectives. Wholly substantivized adjectives are: *a Russian-Russians*, *a German-Germans*. Partially substantivized adjectives are: *the English*, *The French*, *The Chines*.

There are degrees of comparison of adjectives in the English language. The positive degree is not marked. We may speak of a zero morpheme. The comparative and superlative degrees are built up either synthetically or analytically, which in the main depends on the phonetic structure of the stem.

If the stem is monosyllabic or disyllabic with a stress on the second syllable or ending in "er", "y", "le", "ow". The comparative and superlative degrees are usually built up synthetically by adding the suffixes "-er" and "-est", respectively e.g. bright- er (-est). In all other cases the comparative and superlative degrees are formed analytically with the help of the word-morphemes "more", "most". Supplitive opposemes are few in number but of very frequent occurrence. E.g. good-better-the bes; little-less-the least.

Some authors treat *more beautiful* and the *most beautiful* not as analytical forms, but as free syntactical combinations of adverbs and adjectives. Let us compare *nicer* and *more beautiful*. In order to prove that *more beautiful* is an analytical form of the comparative degree, we have to prove that *more* is a grammatical word-morpheme, identical with the morpheme "-*er*" in spite of the utter difference in form. So *more beautiful* is analytical form but less beautiful is not analytical form because "*less*" is not a word morpheme. So "*less*" is an ordinary word and *less beautiful* is a combination of words. And *less* and *-er* have different, even opposite meanings. E.g. *prettier-less pretty*.

Most qualitative adjectives build up opposemes of comparison, but some do not: Adjectives that in themselves express the highest degree of a quality. E.g. *Supreme, extreme*. Those having the suffix *-ish* which indicates the degree of a quality. E.g. *reddish, whitish*. Those denoting qualities which are not compatible with the idea of comparison. E.g. *deaf, dead, lame,* etc. All the adjectives which have no comparative and superlative opposites are outside the category of comparison, but they are united by the oblique or lexicogrammatical meaning of the positive degree.

Without referring to the traditional definition of adjectives you can find in any dictionary, Let's make our way into talking about the standard role of adjectives in language. In English the adjective is multifunctional. It is used essentially to describe an object but, in general, it is meant to enrich and clarify ideas and lead the interlocutors to communicate eloquently.

Standing on such ground, I would like to point out tasks and aims of my work

- 1. The first task of my work is to give definition to term «adjective».
- 2. The second task is to describe the role of adjectives in our speech.
- 3. The last task of my work is to characterize adjectives from grammatical point of view.

In our opinion the practical significance of our work is hard to be overvalued. This work reflects modern trends in linguistics and we hope it would serve as a good manual for those who wants to master modern English language. Also this work can be used by teachers of English language for teaching English grammar.

The present work might find a good way of implying in the following spheres:

- 1.In High Schools and scientific circles of linguistic kind it can be successfully used by teachers and philologists as modern material for writing research works dealing with English adjectives.
- 2. It can be used by teachers of schools, lyceums and colleges by teachers of English as a practical manual for teaching English grammar.
- 3. It can be useful for everyone who wants to enlarge his/her knowledge in English.

After having proved the actuality of our work, I would like to describe the composition of it:

My work consists of four parts: introduction, the main part, conclusion and bibliography. Within the introduction part we gave the brief description of our course paper. The main part of the work includes several items. There we discussed such problems as main features of English adjectives, described their role in English language, and gave grammatical characteristics of them. In the

conclusion to our work we tried to draw some results from the scientific investigations made within the present course paper. In bibliography part we mentioned some sources which were used while compiling the present work. It includes linguistic books and articles dealing with the theme, a number of used dictionaries and encyclopedias and also some internet sources.

#### 1.3 Grammatical overview of English Adjectives

There is not much to be said about the English adjective from the grammatical point of view. As is well know, it has neither number, nor case, nor gender distinctions. Some adjectives have, however, degrees of comparison, which make part of the morphological system of a language. Thus, the English adjective differs materially not only from such highly inflected languages as Russian. Latin, and German, where the adjectives have a rather complicated system of forms, but even from Modern French, which has preserved number and gender distinctions to the present day (cf. masculine singular grand, masculine plural grands, feminine singular grande, feminine plural grandes 'large').

By what signs do we then, recognize an adjective as such in Modern Eng1ish? In most cases this can be done on1y by taking into account semantic and syntactical phenomena. But in some cases, that is for certain adjectives, derivative suffixes are significant, too. Among these are the suffix – less (as in useless), the suffix – like (as in ghostlike), and a few others. Occasionally, however, though a suffix often appears in adjectives, it cannot be taken as a certain proof of the word being an adjective, because the suffix may also make part of a word belonging to another part of speech. Thus, the suffix – full would seem to be typically adjectival, as is its antonym – less. In fact we find the suffix – full in adjectives often enough, as in beautiful, useful, purposeful, meaningful, etc. But alongside of these we also find spoonful. mouthful, handful, etc., which are nouns.

On the whole, the number of adjectives which can be recognized, as such by their suffix seems to be insignificant as compared with the mass of English adjectives.<sup>1</sup> All the adjectives are traditionally divided into two large subclasses: qualitative and relative.

Relative adjectives express such properties of a substance as are determined by the direct relation of the substance to some other substance.

E.g.: wood – a wooden hut; mathematics – mathematical precision; history – a historical event;

table – tabular presentation; colors – colored postcards;

surgery – surgical treatment; the Middle Ages – mediaeval rites.

The nature of this «relationship» in adjectives is best revealed by definitional correlations. Ex.: a wooden hut – a hut made of wood; a historical event – an event referring to a certain period of history; surgical treatment – treatment consisting in the implementation of surgery; etc.

Qualitative adjectives, as different from relative ones, denote various qualities of substances which admit of a quantitative estimation, i.e. of establishing their correlative quantitative measure. The measure of a quality can be estimated as high or low, adequate or inadequate, sufficient or insufficient, optimal or excessive. Ex.: an awkward situation – a very awkward situation; a difficult task – too difficult a task; an enthusiastic reception – rather an enthusiastic reception; a hearty welcome – not a very hearty welcome; etc.

In this connection, the ability of an adjective to form degrees of comparison is usually taken as a formal sign of its qualitative character, in opposition to a relative adjective which is understood as incapable of forming degrees of comparison by definition. Ex.: a pretty girl – a prettier girl; a quick look – a quicker look; a hearty welcome – the heartiest of welcomes; a bombastic speech – the most bombastic speech.

However, in actual speech the described principle of distinction is not at all strictly observed, which is noted in the very grammar treatises putting it forward. Two typical cases of contradiction should be pointed out here.

\_

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> B. Ilyish, The Structure of Modern English, p.58

In the first place, substances can possess such qualities as are incompatible with the idea of degrees of comparison. Accordingly, adjectives denoting these qualities, while belonging to the qualitative subclass, are in the ordinary use incapable of forming degrees of comparison. Here refer adjectives like extinct, immobile, deaf, final, fixed, etc.

In the second place, many adjectives considered under the heading of relative still can form degrees of comparison, thereby, as it were, transforming the denoted relative property of a substance into such as can be graded quantitatively. Ex.: a mediaeval approach—rather a mediaeval approach — a far more mediaeval approach; of a military design — of a less military design — of a more military design;

a grammatical topic a purely grammatical topic – the most grammatical of the suggested topics.

In order to overcome the demonstrated lack of rigour in the definitions in question, we may introduce an additional linguistic distinction which is more adaptable to the chances of usage. The suggested distinction is based on the evaluative function of adjectives. According as they actually give some qualitative evaluation to the substance referent or only point out its corresponding native property, all the adjective functions may be grammatically divided into «evaluative» and «specificative». In particular, one and the same adjective, irrespective of its being basically (i.e. in the sense of the fundamental semantic property of its root constituent) «relative» or «qualitative», can be used either in the evaluative function or in the specificative function.

For instance, the adjective good is basically qualitative. On the other hand, when employed as a grading term in teaching, i.e. a term forming part of the marking scale together with the grading terms bad, satisfactory, excellent, it acquires the said specificative value; in other words, it becomes a specificative, not an evaluative unit in the grammatical sense (though, dialectically, it does signify in this case a lexical evaluation of the pupil's progress). Conversely, the adjective wooden is basically relative, but when used in the broader meaning

«expressionless» or «awkward» it acquires an evaluative force and, consequently, can presuppose a greater or lesser degree («amount») of the denoted properly in the corresponding referent. E.g.:

Bundle found herself looking into the expressionless, wooden face of Superintendent Battle (A. Christie). The superintendent was sitting behind a table and looking more wooden than ever.

The degrees of comparison are essentially evaluative formulas, therefore any adjective used in a higher comparison degree (comparative, superlative) is thereby made into an evaluative adjective, if only for the nonce (see the examples above).

Thus, the introduced distinction between the evaluative and specificative uses of adjectives, in the long run, emphasizes the fact that the morphological category of comparison (comparison degrees) is potentially represented in the whole class of adjectives and is constitutive for it.

Among the words signifying properties of a neural referent there is a lexemic set which claims to be recognized as a separate part of speech, i.e. as a class of words different from the adjectives in its class-forming features. These are words built up by the prefix a – and denoting different states, mostly of temporary duration. Here belong lexemes like afraid, agog, adrift, ablaze. In traditional grammar these words were generally considered under the heading of «predicative adjectives» (some of them also under the heading of adverbs), since their most typical position in the sentence is that of a predicative and they are but occasionally used as pre-positional attributes to nouns.

The only morphological problem concerning adjectives is, then, that of degrees of comparison. The first question which arises here is, how many degrees of comparison has the English adjective (and, for that matter, the adjective in other languages, such as Russian. Latin, or German)? If we take, for example, the three forms of an English adjective: large, larger, (the) largest, shall we say that they are all three of them, degrees of comparison? In that case we ought to term them positive, comparative, and superlative. Or shall we say that only the latter two are degrees of comparison (comparative, and superlative), whereas the first (large)

does not express any idea of comparison and is therefore not a degree of comparison at all? Both views have found their advocates in grammatical theory. Now, if we define a degree of comparison as a form expressing comparison of one object or objects with another in respect of a certain property, it would seem that the first of the three forms (large) should not be included, as it does not express any comparison. Then we should have only two degrees of comparison larger, (the) largest, and a form standing apart, coinciding with the stem from which the degrees of comparison are formed, and which may be described as the basic form.<sup>2</sup>

#### 1.4.Degrees of Comparison of Adjectives

The category is constituted by the opposition of the three forms known under the heading of degrees of comparison: the basic form (positive degree), having no features of comparison; the comparative degree form, having the feature of restricted superiority (which limits the comparison to two elements only); the superlative degree form, having the feature of unrestricted superiority.

It should be noted that the meaning of unrestricted superiority is in-built in the superlative degree as such, though in practice this form is used in collocations imposing certain restrictions on the effected comparison; thus, the form in question may be used to signify restricted superiority, namely, in cases where a limited number of referents are compared. Ex.: Johnny was the strongest boy in the company.

As is evident from the example, superiority restriction is shown here not by the native meaning of the superlative, but by the particular contextual construction of comparison where the physical strength of one boy is estimated in relation to that of his companions.

Some linguists approach the number of the degrees of comparison as problematic on the grounds that the basic form of the adjective does not express any comparison by itself and therefore should be excluded from the category. This

\_

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> B. Ilyish, The Structure of Modern English, p.59

exclusion would reduce the category to two members only, i.e. the comparative and superlative degrees.

However, the oppositional interpretation of grammatical categories underlying our considerations does not admit of such an exclusion; on the contrary, the non-expression of superiority by the basic form is understood in the oppositional presentation of comparison as a pre-requisite for the expression of the category as such. In this expression of the category the basic form is the unmarked member, not distinguished by any comparison suffix or comparison auxiliary, while the superiority forms (i.e. the comparative and superlative) are the marked members, distinguished by the comparison suffixes or comparison auxiliaries.

That the basic form as the positive degree of comparison does express this categorical idea, being included in one and the same allegorical series with the superiority degrees, is clearly shown by its actual uses in comparative syntactic constructions of equality, as well as comparative syntactic constructions of negated equality. Ex.: The remark was as bitter as could be. The Rockies are not so high as the Caucasus.

These constructions are directly correlative with comparative constructions of inequality built around the comparative and superlative degree forms. Ex.: That was the bitterest remark I have ever heard from the man. The Caucasus is higher than the Rockies.

Thus, both formally and semantically, the oppositional basis of the category of comparison displays a binary nature. In terms of the three degrees of comparison, on the upper level of presentation the superiority degrees as the marked member of the opposition are contrasted against the positive degree as its unmarked member. The superiority degrees, in their turn, form the opposition of the lower level of presentation, where the comparative degree features the functionally weak member, and the superlative degree, respectively, the strong member. The whole of the double oppositional unity, considered from the semantic angle, constitutes a gradual ternary opposition.

The synthetical forms of comparison in – er and – (e) st coexist with the analytical forms of comparison effected by the auxiliaries more and most. The analytical forms of comparison perform a double function. On the one hand, they are used with the evaluative adjectives that, due to their phonemic structure (two-syllable words with the stress on the first syllable ending in other grapho-phonemic complexes than – er, – y, – le, – ow or words of more than two-syllable composition) cannot normally take the synthetical forms of comparison. In this respect, the analytical comparison forms are in categorial complementary distribution with the synthetical comparison forms. On the other hand, the analytical forms of comparison, as different from the synthetical forms, are used to express emphasis, thus complementing the synthetical forms in the sphere of this important stylistic connotation. Ex.: The audience became more and more noisy, and soon the speaker's words were drowned in the general hum of voices.

The structure of the analytical degrees of comparison is meaningfully overt; these forms are devoid of the feature of «semantic idiomatism» characteristic of some other categorial analytical forms, such as, for instance, the forms of the verbal perfect. For this reason the analytical degrees of comparison invite some linguists to call in question their claim to a categorial status in English grammar.

In particular, scholars point out the following two factors in support of the view that the combinations of more/most with the basic form of the adjective are not the analytical expressions of the morphological category of comparison, but free syntactic constructions: first, the more/most-combinations are semantically analogous to combinations of less/least with the adjective which, in the general opinion, are syntactic combinations of notional words; second, the most-combination, unlike the synthetic superlative, can take the indefinite article, expressing not the superlative, but the elative meaning (i.e. a high, not the highest degree of the respective quality).

The reasons advanced, though claiming to be based on an analysis of actual lingual data, can hardly be called convincing as regards their immediate negative purpose.

Let us first consider the use of the most-compilation with the indefinite article.

This combination is a common means of expressing elative evaluations of substance properties. The function of the elative most-construction in distinction to the function of the superlative most-'construction will be seen from the following examples:

The speaker launched a most significant personal attack on the Prime Minister. The most significant of the arguments in a dispute is not necessarily the most spectacular one.

While the phrase «a most significant (personal) attack» in the first of the two examples gives the idea of rather a high degree of the quality expressed irrespective of any directly introduced or implied comparison with other attacks on the Prime Minister, the phrase «the most significant of the arguments» expresses exactly the superlative degree of the quality in relation to the immediately introduced comparison with all the rest of the arguments in a dispute; the same holds true of the phrase «the most spectacular one». It is this exclusion of the outwardly superlative adjective from a comparison that makes it into a simple elative, with its most-constituent turned from the superlative auxiliary into a kind of a lexical intensifier.

The definite article with the elative most-construction is also possible, if leaving the elative function less distinctly recognizable (in oral speech the elative most is commonly left unstressed, the absence of stress serving as a negative mark of the elative).

Ex.: I found myself in the most awkward situation, for I couldn't give a satisfactory answer to any question asked by the visitors.

Now, the synthetically superlative degree, as is known, can be used in the elative function as well, the distinguishing feature of the latter being its exclusion from a comparison.

Ex.: Unfortunately, our cooperation with Danny proved the worst experience for both of us. No doubt Mr. Snider will show you his collection of minerals with the greatest pleasure.

And this fact gives us a clue for understanding the expressive nature of the elative superlative as such – the nature that provides it with a permanent grammatico-stylistic status in the language. Indeed, the expressive peculiarity of the form consists exactly in the immediate combination of the two features which outwardly contradict each other: The categorial form of the superlative on the one hand, and the absence of a comparison on the other.

That the categorical form of the superlative (i.e. the superlative with its general functional specification) is essential also for the expression of the elative semantics can, however paradoxical it might appear, be very well illustrated by the elative use of the comparative degree. Indeed, the comparative combination featuring the dative comparative degree is constructed in such a way as to place it in the functional position of unrestricted superiority, i.e. in the position specifically characteristic of the superlative.

E.g.: Nothing gives me greater pleasure than to greet you as our guest of honors. There is nothing more refreshing than a good swim.

The parallelism of functions between the two forms of comparison (the comparative degree and the superlative degree) in such and like examples is unquestionable.

As we see, the elative superlative, though it is not the regular superlative in the grammatical sense, is still a kind of a specific, grammatically featured construction. This grammatical specification distinguishes it from common elative constructions which may be generally defined as syntactic combinations of an intensely high estimation.

E.g.: an extremely important amendment; a matter of exceeding urgency; quite an unparalleled beauty; etc.

Thus, from a grammatical point of view, the elative superlative, though semantically it is «elevated», is nothing else but a degraded superlative, and its distinct featuring mark with the analytical superlative degree is the indefinite article: the two forms of the superlative of different functional purposes receive the two different marks (if not quite rigorously separated in actual uses) by the article determination treatment.

It follows from the above that the possibility of the most-combination to be used with the indefinite article cannot in any way be demonstrative of its non-grammatical character, since the functions of the two superlative combinations in question, the elative superlative and the genuine superlative, are different.

Moreover, the use of the indefinite article with the synthetical superlative in the degraded, dative function is not altogether impossible, though somehow such a possibility is bluntly denied by certain grammatical manuals.

Ex.: He made a last lame effort to delay the experiment; but Basil was impervious to suggestion.

But there is one more possibility to formally differentiate the direct and dative functions of the synthetical superlative, namely, by using the zero article with the superlative. This latter possibility is noted in some grammar books. Ex.: Suddenly I was seized with a sensation of deepest regret.

However, the general tendency of expressing the superlative dative meaning is by using the analytical form. Incidentally, in the Russian language the tendency of usage is reverse: it is the synthetical form of the Russian superlative that is preferred in rendering the dative function. Ex.: слушали с живейшим интересом; повторялась скучнейшая история; попал в глупейшее положение и т.д.

Let us examine now the combinations of less/least with the basic form of the adjective.

As is well known, the general view of these combinations definitely excludes them from any connection with categorial analytical forms. Strangely enough, this rejectionist view of the «negative degrees of comparison» is even taken to support, not to reject the morphological interpretation of the more/most-combinations.

The corresponding argument in favour of the rejectionist interpretation consists in pointing out the functional parallelism existing between the synthetical degrees of comparison and the more/most-combinations accompanied by their complementary distribution, if not rigorously pronounced (the different choice of the forms by different syllabo-phonetical forms of adjectives). The less/least-combinations, according to this view, are absolutely incompatible with the synthetical degrees of comparison, since they express not only different, but opposite meanings.

Now, it does not require a profound analysis to see that, from the grammatical point of view, the formula «opposite meaning» amounts to ascertaining the categorial equality of the forms compared. Indeed, if two forms express the opposite meanings, then they can only belong to units of the same general order. And we cannot but agree with B.A. Ilyish's thesis that «there seems to be no sufficient reason for treating the two sets of phrases in different ways, saying that 'more difficult' is an analytical form, while 'less difficult' is not». True, the cited author takes this fact rather as demonstration that both types of constructions should equally be excluded from the domain of analytical forms, but the problem of the categorial status of the more/most-combinations has been analyzed above.

Thus, the less/least-combinations, similar to the more/most-combinations, constitute specific forms of comparison, which may be called forms of «reverse comparison». The two types of forms cannot be syntagmatically combined in one and the same form of the word, which shows the unity of the category of comparison. The whole category includes not three, but five different forms, making up the two series – respectively, direct and reverse. Of these, the reverse series of comparison (the reverse superiority degrees) is of far lesser importance than the direct one, which evidently can be explained by semantic reasons. As a matter of fact, it is more natural to follow the direct model of comparison based on the principle of addition of qualitative quantities than on the reverse model of comparison based on the principle of subtraction of qualitative quantities, since

subtraction in general is a far more abstract process of mental activity than addition. And, probably, exactly for the same reason the reverse comparatives and superlatives are rivaled in speech by the corresponding negative syntactic constructions.

Having considered the characteristics of the category of comparison, we can see more clearly the relation to this category of some usually non-comparable evaluative adjectives.

Outside the immediate comparative grammatical change of the adjective stand such evaluative adjectives as contain certain comparative Semitic elements in their semantic structures. In particular, as we have mentioned above, here belong adjectives that are themselves grading marks of evaluation. Another group of evaluative non-comparables is formed by adjectives of indefinitely moderated quality, or, tentatively, «moderating qualifiers», such as whitish, tepid, half-ironical, semi-detached, etc. But the most peculiar lexemic group of non-comparables is made up by adjectives expressing the highest degree of a respective quality, which words can tentatively be called «adjectives of extreme quality», or «extreme qualifiers», or simply «extremals».

The inherent superlative semantics of extremals is emphasized by the definite article normally introducing their neural combinations, exactly similar to the definite article used with regular collocations of the superlative degree. Ex.: The ultimate outcome of the talks was encouraging. The final decision has not yet been made public.

On the other hand, due to the tendency of colloquial speech to contrastive variation, such extreme qualifiers can sometimes be modified by intensifying elements. Thus, «the final decision» becomes «a very final decision»; «the ultimate rejection» turns into «rather an ultimate rejection»; «the crucial role» is made into «quite a crucial role», etc.

As a result of this kind of modification, the highest grade evaluative force of these words is not strengthened, but, on the contrary, weakened; the outwardly extreme qualifiers become degraded extreme qualifiers, even in this status similar to the regular categorial superlatives degraded in their relative use.

#### CONCLUSION

In the conclusion of my course paper, I would like to say some words according to the done investigation.

The main part of my work consists of following items:

- « The Adjective and its classification », as it is seen from the title in this part I gave the definition to the term adjective.
- Grammatical overview of English Adjectives. This part contains description of adjectives from the grammatical point of view, and classification of adjectives from the same point.
- In the last paragraph **«Degrees of Comparison of Adjectives»** I described the only grammatical category of English adjectives.

Standing on such ground I will add that investigation in the questions dealt with English adjectives is not finished yet, so we will continue it while writing our qualification work.

I hope that my course paper will arise the sincere interest of students and teachers to the problem of adjectives in contemporary English.

### CHAPTER II. SYNTACTICAL FEATURES OF ADJECTIVES IN ENGLISH

#### 2.1. Syntactic Functions of Adjectives

Adjectives may serve in the sentence as:

1) an attribute e.g. Do you see the small green boat, which has such an odd shape? The lights of the farm blazed out in the windy darkness.

Adjectives used as attributes usually immediately precede the noun.

Normally there is no pause between the adjective and the noun. Such attributes are called close attributes. However, an adjective placed in preposition to the noun may be separated from it by a pause. Then it becomes a loose attribute. e.g. Clever and tactful, George listened to my story with deep concern.

Yet loose attributes are more often found in post-position to the noun.

- e.g. My father, happy and tired, kissed me good-night.
- 2) a predicative
- e.g. Her smile was almost professional.

He looked mature, sober and calm.

- 3) part of a compound verbal predicate
- e.g. He stood silent, with his back turned to the window.

She lay motionless, as if she were asleep.

- 4) an objective predicative
- e.g. I thought him very intelligent.

She wore her hair short.

- 5) a subjective predicative
- e.g. The door was closed tight.

Her hair was dyed blonde.

It should be noted that most adjectives can be used both attributively and predicatively, but some, among them those beginning with a-, can be used only as predicatives (e.g. afraid, asleep, along, alive, awake,

ashamed and also content, sorry, well, ill, due, etc.) A few adjectives can be used only as attributes (e.g. outer, major, minor, only, whole, former, latter and some others).

#### 2.2. Position of Adjectives

- 1 Most adjectives can be used in a noun group, after determiners and numbers if there are any, in front of the noun.
- e.g. He had a beautiful smile.

She bought a loaf of white bread.

There was no clear evidence.

2 Most adjectives can also be used after a link verb such as 'be', 'become', or 'feel'.

e.g. I'm cold.

I felt angry.

Nobody seemed amused.

3. Some adjectives are normally used only after a link verb.

afraid	asleep	due	ready unable
alive	aware	glad	sorry well
alone	content	ill	sure

For example, we can say 'She was glad', but you do not talk about 'a glad woman'.

I wanted to be alone.

We were getting ready for bed.

I'm not quite sure.

He didn't know whether to feel glad or sorry.

4. Some adjectives are normally used only in front of a noun.

eastern		existing	neighbouring
northern	atomic	indoor	occasional
southern	countless	introductory	outdoor

western	digital	maximum	

For example, we talk about 'an atomic bomb', but we do not say 'The bomb was atomic'. He sent countless letters to the newspapers.

This book includes a good introductory chapter on forests.

5. When we use an adjective to emphasize a strong feeling or opinion, it always comes in front of a noun.

```
absolute outright pure true
complete perfect real utter
entire positive total
```

Some of it was absolute rubbish.

He made me feel like a complete idiot.

6. Some adjectives that describe size or age can come after a noun group consisting of a number or determiner and a noun that indicates the unit of measurement.

```
Deep long tall wide high old thick
```

He was about six feet tall.

The water was several metres deep.

The baby is nine months old.

Note that you do not say 'two pounds heavy', you say 'two pounds in weight'.

7. A few adjectives are used alone after a noun.

```
|designate |elect |galore |incarnate |
```

She was now the president elect.

There are empty houses galore.

8. A few adjectives have a different meaning depending on whether they come in front of or after a noun.

concerned involved present proper responsible

For example, 'the concerned mother' means a mother who is worried, but 'the mother concerned' means the mother who has been mentioned.

It's one of those incredibly involved stories.

The people involved are all doctors.

I'm worried about the present situation.

Of the 18 people present, I knew only one.

Her parents were trying to act in a responsible manner.

We do not know the person responsible for his death.

#### 2.3.Order of Adjectives.

- 1. We often want to add more information to a noun than you can with one adjective, so we need to use two or more adjectives. In theory, we can use the adjectives in any order, depending on the quality you want to emphasize. In practice, however, there is a normal order. When we use two or more adjectives in front of a noun, we usually put an adjective that expresses our opinion in front of an adjective that just describes something.
  - e.g. You live in a nice big house.

He is a naughty little boy.

She was wearing a beautiful pink suit.

- 2. When we use more than one adjective to express our opinion, an adjective with a more general meaning such as 'good', 'bad', 'nice', or 'lovely' usually comes before an adjective with a more specific meaning such as 'comfortable', 'clean', or 'dirty'. e.g. I sat in a lovely comfortable armchair in the corner. He put on a nice clean shirt. It was a horrible dirty room.
  - 3. We can use adjectives to describe various qualities of people or things. For example, we might want to indicate their size, their shape, or the

country they come from. Descriptive adjectives belong to six main types, but we are unlikely ever to use all six types in the same noun group. If we did, we would normally put them in the following order:

Size shape age colour nationality material

This means that if we want to use an 'age' adjective and a 'nationality' adjective, we put the 'age' adjective first. We met some young Chinese girls. Similarly, a 'shape' adjective normally comes before a 'colour' adjective.

e.g. He had round black eyes.

Other combinations of adjectives follow the same order. Note that 'material' means any substance, not only cloth.

e.g. There was a large round wooden table in the room.

The man was carrying a small black plastic bag.

- 4. We usually put comparative and superlative adjectives in front of other adjectives.
  - e.g. Some of the better English actors have gone to live in Hollywood.

These are the highest monthly figures on record.

- 5. When we use a noun in front of another noun, we never put adjectives between them. We put any adjectives in front of the first noun.
  - e.g. He works in the French film industry.

He receives a large weekly cash payment.

- 6. When we use two adjectives as the complement of a link verb, we use a conjunction such as 'and' to link them. With three or more adjectives, we link the last two with a conjunction, and put commas after the others.
  - e.g. The day was hot and dusty.

The room was large but square.

The house was old, damp and smelly.

We felt hot, tired and thirsty.

#### 2.4. Adjectives with prepositions

1. When we use an adjective after a link verb, we can often use the adjective on its own or followed by a prepositional phrase.

e.g. He was afraid.

He was afraid of his enemies.

2. Some adjectives cannot be used alone after a link verb. If they are followed by a prepositional phrase, it must have a particular preposition:

aware of u	inaware of	fond of	
accustomed to	unaccustomed t	to used to	

e.g. I've always been terribly fond of you.

He is unaccustomed to the heat.

3. Some adjectives can be used alone, or followed by a particular preposition used alone, or with 'of' to specify the cause of a feeling

afraid critical jealous suspicious ashamed envious proud terrified convinced frightened scared tired

They may feel jealous of your success.

I was terrified of her.

used alone, or with 'of' to specify the person who has a quality

brave good polite thoughtful
careless intelligent sensible unkind
clever kind silly unreasonable
generous nice stupid wrong

That was clever of you!

I turned the job down, which was stupid of me. used alone or with 'to', usually referring to:

similarity: close equal identical

related similar

marriage: married engaged

loyalty: dedicated devoted loya

rank: junior senior

e.g. My problems are very similar to yours.

He was dedicated to his job.

used alone, or followed by 'with' to specify the cause of a feeling

bored	displeased	impatient pleased
content	dissatisfied	impressed satisfied

e.g. I could never be bored with football.

He was pleased with her.

used alone or with 'at', usually referring to:

strong reactions: amazed astonished shocked surprised ability: bad excellent good hopeless useless

e.g. He was shocked at the hatred they had shown.

She had always been good at languages.

used alone, or with 'for' to specify the person or thing that quality relates to

common essential possible unusual
difficult important unnecessary usual
easy necessary

e.g. It's difficult for young people on their own.

It was unusual for them to go away at the weekend.

4. Some adjectives can be used alone, or used with different prepositions, used alone, with an impersonal subject and 'of' and the subject of the action, or with a personal subject and 'to' and the object of the action

cruel	good	nasty	rude
friendly	kind	nice	unfriendly
generous	mean	polite	unkind

e.g. It was rude of him to leave so suddenly.

She was rude to him for no reason.

used alone, with 'about' to specify a thing or 'with' to specify a person

angry	delighted	fed up	happy
annoyed	disappointed	furious	upset

e.g. She was still angry about the result.

They're getting pretty fed up with him.

#### 2.5. Substantivization of Adjectives.

Sometimes adjectives become substantivized. In this case they have the functions of nouns in the sentence and are always preceded by the definite article. Substantivized adjectives may have two meanings:

- 1) They may indicate a class of persons in a general sense (e.g. the poor = poor people, the dead = dead people, etc.) Such adjectives are plural in meaning and take a plural verb.
  - e.g. The old receive pensions.

The young are always romantic, aren't they?

The blind are taught trades in special schools.

If we wish to denote a single person we must add a noun.

e.g. The old man receives a pension.

If we wish to refer to a particular group of persons (not the whole class), it is aslo necessary to add a noun.

e.g. The young are usually intolerant.

The young men are fishing.

Some adjectives denoting nationalities (e.g. English, French, Dutch) are used in the same way.

e.g. The English are great lovers of tea.

There were a few English people among the tourists.

- 2) Substantivized adjectives may also indicate an abstract notion. Then they are singular in meaning and take a singular verb.
- e.g. The good in him overweighs the bad.

My mother never lost her taste for extravagant.

#### Conclusion on chapter II

The subject of our investigation was adjectives. What we have learnt about adjectives is that most English adjectives have comparative and superlative forms. These are generally constructed in one of two ways: either by suffixes (*big, bigger, biggest*) or by the use of the grammatical particles *more* and *most*. We have investigated that some adjectives have suppletive forms in their comparison, such as *good, better, best*. Comparative and superlative forms apply only to the base form of the adjective, so that duplicate forms like *most biggest* or *worser* are

nonstandard (although *lesser* is sometimes permitted as a variant of *less*). A few adjectives have no comparative but a superlative with -most: uppermost, westernmost, etc. Also it has its own degrees, such as comparison, etc. Those such as male, female, extant and extinct which express "absolute" qualities do not admit comparisons: one animal cannot be more extinct than another. Similarly in a planktonic organism the adjective planktonic simply means plankton-type; there are no degrees or grades of planktonic. Other cases are more debatable. Grammatical prescriptivists frequently object to phrases such as more perfect on the grounds that something either is perfect or it is not. However, many speakers of English accept the phrase as meaning *more nearly perfect*. An adjective that causes particular controversy in this respect is *unique*. The formulations *more unique* and most unique are guaranteed to raise the hackles of purists. Which English adjectives are compared by -er/-est and which by more/most is a complex matter of English idiom. Generally, shorter adjectives (including most monosyllabic adjectives), Anglo-Saxon words, and shorter, fully domesticated French words (e.g. *noble*) use the suffixes *-er/-est*. Adjectives with two syllables vary. Some take either form, and the situation determines the usage. For example, one will see commoner and more common, depending on which sounds better in the context. Two-syllable adjectives that end in the sound [i], most often spelled with y, generally take -er/-est, e.g., pretty: prettier: prettiest. It was pleasant to investigate adjectives and we think that it is not the end of its investigation. We will continue this theme on our diploma work. Thank you for spending time on reading our course work!

#### List of the used literature

1. Каримов И.А. Мировой финансово-экономический кризис, пути и меры по его преодолению в условиях Узбекистана. – Ташкент: Узбекистан, 2009. – 47 с.